

A Galerkin finite element method for time-fractional stochastic heat equation

Guang-an Zou^{a,*}

^a*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, P. R. China*

Abstract

In this article, we firstly consider a Galerkin finite element method for the time-fractional stochastic heat equation driven by multiplicative noise, which arise from the consideration of the heat equation in a material with random effects with thermal memory. The spatial and temporal regularity properties of mild solution for this time-fractional stochastic problem are proved under certain assumptions. The numerical scheme is based on the Galerkin finite element method in spatial direction, and in time direction we apply the Gorenflo-Mainardi-Moretti-Paradisi (GMMP) scheme. The optimal strong convergence error estimates with respect to the semidiscrete finite element approximations in space and fully discrete schemes are well proved.

Keywords: Time-fractional stochastic heat equation, finite element method, GMMP scheme, error estimates.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the time-fractional stochastic heat equation on the finite time interval $[0, T]$, which can be written as the following:

$${}^C D_t^\alpha u(x, t) = \Delta u(x, t) + \sigma(u(x, t)) \frac{dW(t)}{dt}, x \in D, t \in (0, T], \quad (1.1)$$

subject to the initial condition:

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), x \in D, t = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

*Corresponding author

Email address: zouguangan00@163.com (Guang-an Zou)

and the boundary conditions

$$u(x, t) = 0, x \in \partial D, t > 0, \quad (1.3)$$

where $u(x, t)$ is a random function, D is a bounded subset of R^d (where $d = 1, 2, 3$) with the boundary ∂D , and in a Hilbert space $H = L_2(D)$. The operator Δ stands for the Laplacian acting on R^d . The coefficient σ is real valued continuous functions. $W(t)$ is a Wiener process defined on a given filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0})$. Here, ${}^C D_t^\alpha$ denotes the Caputo-type derivative of order α for a function $u(x, t)$ with respect to the time variable t defined by (see Ref.[1])

$${}^C D_t^\alpha u(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} \frac{\partial^n u(x, s)}{\partial s^n} ds, & n-1 < \alpha < n, \\ \frac{\partial^n u(x, t)}{\partial t^n}, & \alpha = n, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ stands for the gamma function $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt$, and $n = [\alpha] + 1$ with $[\alpha]$ denotes the integer part of α . The cases $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\alpha = 1$, $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $\alpha = 2$ in the deterministic time-fractional heat equations (where $\sigma = 0$ in Eq.(1.1)) are corresponding to subdiffusion, normal diffusion, superdiffusion, and ballistic diffusion, respectively [2]. In this paper, we focus on the subdiffusion problem, that is

$${}^C D_t^\alpha u(x, t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{\partial u(x, s)}{\partial s} \frac{ds}{(t-s)^\alpha}, t > 0, 0 < \alpha < 1. \quad (1.5)$$

The initial-boundary value problems (1.1)-(1.3) in this study is a special case of the fractional stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) in Mijena and Nane (2015) [3]. This class of time-fractional SPDEs can be used to model the random effects on transport of particles in medium with thermal memory [3,4], for example, the time-fractional stochastic heat equation might be used to describe the heat transport phenomenon in a material with random effects with thermal memory. Mijena and Nane [3] have proved the existence and uniqueness of mild solutions to non-linear space-time fractional SPDEs by fundamental solution and Duhamel's principle, and they have also proved the bounds for the intermittency fronts solution of this equation [5]. Foondun and Nane [6] have

studied the asymptotic properties of this type of fractional SPDEs. Chen et al. [7] have proved the existence and uniqueness of space-time fractional diffusions in Gaussian noisy environment. However, it should be mentioned that to the best of our knowledge, up to now, numerical solutions of these types of fractional SPDEs have not been investigated yet. Motivated by the above facts, our goal of this paper is to use the time-fractional stochastic heat equation as a test to develop numerical tools and analysis techniques which hopefully are applicable to other fractional SPDEs.

The remaining of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we give some notations and lemmas which play a crucial role in our error analysis, and the spatial and temporal regularity properties of mild solution for this time-fractional stochastic heat equation are proved. In Section 3, we prove some important and useful lemmas, the semidiscrete and fully discrete finite element methods are proposed for time-fractional stochastic heat equation, and the strong convergence error estimates for them in the L_2 -norm are established. Finally, the conclusion is included in Section 4.

2. Notations and preliminaries

In this section, we will introduce some useful notations and some important preliminaries, which will be used in the subsequent discussions.

Suppose the Hilbert space H with inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$. Then $L_2(\Omega, H)$ is Hilbert space of H -valued random variables with the inner product $\mathbf{E}(\cdot, \cdot)$ and the norm $(\mathbf{E}\|\cdot\|^2)^{1/2}$, we denote $\|\cdot\|_{L_2(\Omega, H)}^2 = \mathbf{E}\|\cdot\|^2$, i.e., $L_2(\Omega, H) = \{f : \mathbf{E}\|f\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \|f(\omega)\|^2 d\mathbf{P}(\omega) < \infty\}$, in which $\mathbf{E}$ denote the expectation.

In this study, we consider that $W(t)$ is a Wiener process with the linear bounded covariance operator Q such that $trQ < \infty$, where tr denotes the trace of the operator. Suppose that Q is self-adjoint and positive definite with eigenvalues λ_n and corresponding eigenfunctions e_n such that $Qe_n = \lambda_n e_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$,

let a sequence $\{\beta_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be independent Brownian motions such that

$$W(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{1/2} e_n \beta_n(t),$$

let $L_2^0 = L_2(Q^{1/2}(H), H)$ denote the space of all Hillbert-Schmidt operators from $Q^{1/2}(H)$ to H with the norm $\|\phi\|_{L_2^0} := \|\phi Q^{1/2}\|_{HS} = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi Q^{1/2} e_n)^{1/2}$, i.e., $L_2^0 = \{\phi \in L(H) : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\phi Q^{1/2} e_n\|^2 < \infty\}$, in which $L(H)$ is the space of bounded linear operators from H to H .

Lemma 2.1. ([8]) Let $\varphi : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow L_2^0$ be a strongly measurable mapping such that $\int_0^T \mathbf{E} \|\varphi(s)\|_{L_2^0}^2 ds < \infty$, then the so called Itô-isometry is given by

$$\mathbf{E} \left\| \int_0^t \varphi(s) dW(s) \right\|^2 = \int_0^t \mathbf{E} \|\varphi(s)\|_{L_2^0}^2 ds = \int_0^t \mathbf{E} \|\varphi Q^{1/2}\|_{HS}^2 ds. \quad (2.1)$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq T$.

Here, the time-fractional stochastic heat problem (1.1)-(1.3) also can be rewritten as the following formulation:

$${}^C D_t^\alpha u(t) = Au(t) + \sigma(u(t)) \frac{dW}{dt}, x \in D, t > 0; u(0) = u_0, \quad (2.2)$$

in which we denote that $u(t) = u(\cdot, t)$ and the operator $A = \Delta$ with $D(A) = H^2(D) \cap H_0^1$, where $H^m(D) = \{v \in L_2(D), \frac{d^k v}{dx^k} \in L_2(D), k \leq m; k, m \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$, $H_0^1 = H_0^1(D) = \{v \in H^1(D), v|_{\partial D} = 0\}$. Here, let A^μ with $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ be a fractional power of A , by means of the spectral decomposition of A and use to measure the spatial regularity. Further, we define the spaces $\dot{H}^\mu = \mathcal{D}(A^{\frac{\mu}{2}})$ with the induced norm $\|f\|_\mu = \|A^{\frac{\mu}{2}} f\|$, which is again a separable Hilbert space. We note $\dot{H}^0 = H$, it is clear that $\dot{H}^1 = H_0^1$, $\dot{H}^2 = H^2(D) \cap H_0^1$ with equivalent norms. We define $L_2(\Omega, \dot{H}^\mu)$ by

$$\|f\|_{L_2(\Omega, \dot{H}^\mu)} = \mathbf{E}(\|f\|_\mu^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_\Omega \|f(\omega)\|_\mu^2 d\mathbf{P}(\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Now, inspired by the definition of the mild solutions for the time-fractional differential equations (see Refs.[9-11]), we give the following definition of mild solution for our time-fractional stochastic heat equation.

Definition 2.1. A stochastic process $u : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ is called a mild solution of (2.2) if it satisfies the following integral equation

$$u(t) = \Psi(t)u_0 + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi(t-s) \sigma(u(s)) dW(s), \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\Psi(t) = \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) E(t^\alpha \theta) d\theta,$$

$$\Phi(t) = \alpha \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) E(t^\alpha \theta) d\theta,$$

$$\xi_\alpha(\theta) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \theta^{-1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \omega_\alpha(\theta^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}),$$

$$\omega_\alpha(\theta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^\infty (-1)^{n-1} \theta^{-n\alpha-1} \frac{\Gamma(n\alpha+1)}{n!} \sin(n\pi\alpha),$$

in which $E(t) = e^{tA}$ is an analytic semigroup generated by a linear operator A . The term $\xi_\alpha(\theta) \geq 0$ is a probability density function defined on $\theta \in (0, \infty)$ and $\int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) d\theta = 1$. Here, the proof of the existence and uniqueness theorems for the mild solution of (2.2) are similar to the Ref.[11].

Lemma 2.2. (see [10]) For any $\nu \in [0, 1]$, it is not difficult to verify that

$$\int_0^\infty \theta^\nu \xi_\alpha(\theta) d\theta = \int_0^\infty \theta^{-\alpha\nu} \omega_\alpha(\theta) d\theta = \frac{\Gamma(1+\nu)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha\nu)}. \quad (2.4)$$

Lemma 2.3. (see [12]) The operators $\{\Psi(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{\Phi(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ in (2.3) have the following properties:

(A1) The operators $\{\Psi(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{\Phi(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ are strongly continuous, which means that for each $v \in H$ and $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$, we obtain $\|\Psi(t_2)v - \Psi(t_1)v\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|\Phi(t_2)v - \Phi(t_1)v\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$.

(A2) For any fixed $t \geq 0$, $\Psi(t)$ and $\Phi(t)$ are linear and bounded operators, that means for any $v \in H$, we have

$$\|\Psi(t)v\| \leq M\|v\|, \|\Phi(t)v\| \leq \frac{M\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\|v\|. \quad (2.5)$$

where $M := \sup_{t \in [0, +\infty)} |E(t)| < \infty$.

In this paper, we impose the assumptions that the measurable function $\sigma : H \rightarrow L_2^0$ satisfies the following global Lipschitz and linear growth conditions

$$\|\sigma(u) - \sigma(v)\|_{L_2^0} \leq C\|u - v\|, \|\sigma(v)\|_{L_2^0} \leq C\|v\|, \quad (2.6)$$

for any $u, v \in H$, C is a constant. The modified versions of the Lipschitz and growth conditions (2.6) are also introduced as

$$\|A^{\frac{\mu-1}{2}}[\sigma(u) - \sigma(v)]\|_{L_2^0} \leq C\|u - v\|, \|A^{\frac{\mu-1}{2}}\sigma(v)\|_{L_2^0} \leq C\|v\|, \quad (2.7)$$

where $\mu \in [0, 1]$ and $\forall u, v \in H$. We see that $\mu = 1$ are special cases of the Lipschitz and growth conditions (2.6).

Next, we introduce and prove some lemmas that will be used later on.

Lemma 2.4. (see [13]) For any $\gamma \geq 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|A^\gamma E(t)\| \leq Ct^{-\gamma}, t > 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Lemma 2.5. For any $0 < t \leq T$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, we have

$$\|A^\gamma \Phi(t)\| \leq Ct^{-\gamma\alpha}, \quad (2.9)$$

where $C = C(\gamma, \alpha)$ is positive constant.

Proof. For any $0 < t \leq T$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, by using Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^\gamma \Phi(t)\| &= \left\| \alpha \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) A^\gamma E(t^\alpha \theta) d\theta \right\| \\ &\leq \alpha \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) \|A^\gamma E(t^\alpha \theta)\| d\theta \\ &\leq \alpha \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) C(t^\alpha \theta)^{-\gamma} d\theta \\ &= \alpha C t^{-\gamma\alpha} \int_0^\infty \theta^{1-\gamma} \xi_\alpha(\theta) d\theta \\ &= \frac{\alpha C \Gamma(2-\gamma)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha(1-\gamma))} t^{-\gamma\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

Lemma 2.6. For any $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$ and $0 \leq a \leq 1$, we have

$$t_2^a - t_1^a \leq a\delta^{a-1}(t_2 - t_1)^a, \quad (2.10)$$

where $0 < \delta < 1$.

Proof. It is easy to prove this inequality by means of the Lagrange's mean value theorem.

Lemma 2.7. For any $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, there exists a constant $C = C(\gamma, \alpha, \delta)$ such that

$$\|A^\gamma[\Psi(t_2) - \Psi(t_1)]v\| \leq C(t_2 - t_1)^{\frac{\alpha(1-\gamma)}{2}} \|v\|_{\gamma-1}, v \in \dot{H}^{\gamma-1}, \quad (2.11)$$

Proof. For any $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$, we can write that

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{dE(t^\alpha \theta)}{dt} dt = E(t_2^\alpha \theta) - E(t_1^\alpha \theta) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \alpha t^{\alpha-1} \theta A E(t^\alpha \theta) dt. \quad (2.12)$$

For $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, using the above expression (2.12) and Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A^\gamma[E(t_2^\alpha \theta) - E(t_1^\alpha \theta)]v\| \\ &= \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \alpha t^{\alpha-1} \theta A^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}} E(t^\alpha \theta) A^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}} v dt \right\| \\ &\leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \alpha t^{\alpha-1} \theta \|A^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}} E(t^\alpha \theta)\| \|A^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}} v\| dt \\ &\leq \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \alpha t^{\alpha-1} \theta C(t^\alpha \theta)^{-\frac{\gamma+1}{2}} dt \right) \|A^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}} v\| \\ &= \alpha C \theta^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}} \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} t^{\frac{\alpha(1-\gamma)}{2}-1} dt \right) \|A^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}} v\| \\ &= \frac{2\alpha C}{\alpha(1-\gamma)} \theta^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}} \left(t_2^{\frac{\alpha(1-\gamma)}{2}} - t_1^{\frac{\alpha(1-\gamma)}{2}} \right) \|A^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}} v\| \\ &\leq \alpha C \delta^{\frac{\alpha(1-\gamma)}{2}-1} \theta^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}} (t_2 - t_1)^{\frac{\alpha(1-\gamma)}{2}} \|A^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}} v\|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Using the above estimate (2.13) and the Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|A^\gamma[\Psi(t_2) - \Psi(t_1)]v\| \\
&= \|A^\gamma[\int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta)E(t_2^\alpha\theta)d\theta - \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta)E(t_1^\alpha\theta)d\theta]v\| \\
&= \|\int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta)A^\gamma[E(t_2^\alpha\theta) - E(t_1^\alpha\theta)]vd\theta\| \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta)\|A^\gamma[E(t_2^\alpha\theta) - E(t_1^\alpha\theta)]v\|d\theta \\
&\leq \alpha C\delta^{\frac{\alpha(1-\gamma)}{2}-1}(\int_0^\infty \theta^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}\xi_\alpha(\theta)d\theta)(t_2 - t_1)^{\frac{\alpha(1-\gamma)}{2}}\|A^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}v\| \\
&= \alpha C\delta^{\frac{\alpha(1-\gamma)}{2}-1}\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{1-\gamma}{2})}{\Gamma(1+\frac{\alpha(1-\gamma)}{2})}(t_2 - t_1)^{\frac{\alpha(1-\gamma)}{2}}\|v\|_{\gamma-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The estimate (2.11) is proved.

Lemma 2.8. For any $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, there holds

$$\|A^{\frac{1+\gamma}{2}}[\Phi(t_2) - \Phi(t_1)]v\| \leq C(t_2 - t_1)^{\frac{-\gamma\alpha}{2}}\|v\|_{-1}, v \in \dot{H}^{-1}. \quad (2.14)$$

where $C = C(\gamma, \alpha, \delta)$ is a constant.

Proof. For any $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$, similar to the prove of (2.11) we obtain the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|A^{\frac{1+\gamma}{2}}[\Phi(t_2) - \Phi(t_1)]v\| \\
&= \|\alpha \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) A^{1+\frac{\gamma}{2}}[E(t_2^\alpha\theta) - E(t_1^\alpha\theta)]A^{-\frac{1}{2}}vd\theta\| \\
&\leq \alpha \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) (\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \alpha t^{\alpha-1} \theta C(t^\alpha\theta)^{-(1+\frac{\gamma}{2})}dt) \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}v\|d\theta \\
&\leq \alpha^2 C \delta^{\frac{-\gamma\alpha}{2}-1} (\int_0^\infty \theta^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \xi_\alpha(\theta) d\theta) (t_2 - t_1)^{\frac{-\gamma\alpha}{2}} \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}v\| \\
&\leq \alpha^2 C \delta^{\frac{-\gamma\alpha}{2}-1} \frac{\Gamma(2-\frac{\gamma}{2})}{\Gamma(1+\frac{\alpha(2-\gamma)}{2})} (t_2 - t_1)^{\frac{-\gamma\alpha}{2}} \|v\|_{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 2.1. When $\gamma = 0$, it is easy to prove that the operators $\{\Psi(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{\Phi(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ are strongly continuous in Lemma 2.3.

As we know, the regularity of the mild solution of the time-fractional SPDEs based on the analytic semigroup have not appeared in other documents. There-

fore, we will discuss and prove the regularity results of the mild solution. To begin with, we will prove the following spatial regularity result.

Theorem 2.1. Assume that σ satisfies (2.6), for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, let $u(t)$ be the mild solution (2.3) of the equation (2.2), there exists a constant C such that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega, \dot{H}^\gamma)}^2 \leq C(\|u_0\|_{L_2(\Omega, \dot{H}^\gamma)}^2 + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|u(s)\|_{L_2(\Omega, H)}^2) \quad (2.15)$$

Proof. For any $0 \leq t \leq T$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, using the Itô-isometry for the stochastic integral and the Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\|u(t)\|_\gamma^2 &\leq 2\mathbf{E}\|\Psi(t)A^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}u_0\|^2 + 2\mathbf{E}\left\|\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}A^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\Phi(t-s)\sigma(u(s))dW(s)\right\|^2 \\ &\leq 2M^2\mathbf{E}\|A^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}u_0\|^2 + 2\int_0^t \mathbf{E}\|(t-s)^{\alpha-1}A^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\Phi(t-s)\sigma(u(s))\|_{L_2^0}^2 ds \\ &\leq 2M^2\mathbf{E}\|u_0\|_\gamma^2 + 2C\left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2}\|A^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\Phi(t-s)\|^2 ds\right) \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \mathbf{E}\|u(s)\|^2 \\ &\leq 2M^2\mathbf{E}\|u_0\|_\gamma^2 + 2C\left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{(2-\gamma)\alpha-2} ds\right) \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \mathbf{E}\|u(s)\|^2 \\ &\leq 2M^2\mathbf{E}\|u_0\|_\gamma^2 + \frac{2CT^{(2-\gamma)\alpha-1}}{(2-\gamma)\alpha-1} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \mathbf{E}\|u(s)\|^2 \\ &\leq C(\mathbf{E}\|u_0\|_\gamma^2 + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \mathbf{E}\|u(s)\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the inequality (2.15) is proved.

Next, we will devote to the temporal regularity of the mild solution.

Theorem 2.2. Assume that σ satisfies (2.7), for any $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$ and $0 \leq \nu < 1$, the mild solution $u(t)$ to (2.2) is Hölder continuous with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L_2(\Omega, \dot{H}^{1+\nu})}$ and satisfies

$$\|u(t_2) - u(t_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega, \dot{H}^{1+\nu})}^2 \leq C(t_2 - t_1)^\beta. \quad (2.16)$$

Proof. For any $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$, there exists

$$\begin{aligned}
u(t_2) - u(t_1) &= \Psi(t_2)u_0 - \Psi(t_1)u_0 \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi(t_2 - s) \sigma(u(s)) dW(s) \\
&\quad - \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi(t_1 - s) \sigma(u(s)) dW(s) \\
&=: I_1 + I_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \Psi(t_2)u_0 - \Psi(t_1)u_0, \\
I_2 &= \int_0^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi(t_2 - s) \sigma(u(s)) dW(s) \\
&\quad - \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi(t_1 - s) \sigma(u(s)) dW(s) \\
&= \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} [\Phi(t_2 - s) - \Phi(t_1 - s)] \sigma(u(s)) dW(s) \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t_1} [(t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}] \Phi(t_2 - s) \sigma(u(s)) dW(s) \\
&\quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi(t_2 - s) \sigma(u(s)) dW(s) \\
&=: I_{21} + I_{22} + I_{23}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

For any $0 \leq \nu < 1$, by the Lemma 2.7, then the term I_1 can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E} \|I_1\|_{1+\nu}^2 &= \mathbf{E} \|A^{\frac{1+\nu}{2}} [\Psi(t_2) - \Psi(t_1)] u_0\|^2 \\
&\leq C(t_2 - t_1)^{\frac{\alpha(1-\nu)}{2}} \mathbf{E} \|u_0\|_{\frac{\nu-1}{2}}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

For the first term I_{21} in (2.18), considering the Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.8,

combine with the Theorem 2.1 and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\|I_{21}\|_{1+\nu}^2 &= \mathbf{E}\left\|\int_0^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{\alpha-1} A^{\frac{1+\nu}{2}} [\Phi(t_2-s) - \Phi(t_1-s)] \sigma(u(s)) dW(s)\right\|^2 \\
&= \int_0^{t_1} \mathbf{E}\|(t_1-s)^{\alpha-1} A^{\frac{1+\nu}{2}} [\Phi(t_2-s) - \Phi(t_1-s)] \sigma(u(s))\|_{L_2}^2 ds \\
&\leq C(t_2-t_1)^{-\alpha\nu} \left(\int_0^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{2\alpha-2} dt\right) \left(\int_0^{t_1} \mathbf{E}\|u(s)\|^2 ds\right) \\
&\leq \frac{C}{2\alpha-1} t_1^{2\alpha} (t_2-t_1)^{-\alpha\nu} (\mathbf{E}\|u_0\|^2 + \sup_{0\leq s\leq T} \mathbf{E}\|u(s)\|^2) \\
&\leq \frac{CT^{2\alpha}}{2\alpha-1} (t_2-t_1)^{-\alpha\nu} (\mathbf{E}\|u_0\|^2 + \sup_{0\leq s\leq T} \mathbf{E}\|u(s)\|^2). \tag{2.20}
\end{aligned}$$

As a matter of convenience, we introduce the following common integral inequality

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx \int_a^b g(x) dx \leq (b-a) \int_a^b f(x) g(x) dx, \tag{2.21}$$

where $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are continuous and monotone increasing on $[a, b]$. Since $0 < \alpha < 1$ imply that $\alpha-1 < 0$, it is easy to see that $0 < (t_2-s)^{\alpha-1} < (t_1-s)^{\alpha-1}$. Besides, it holds the inequality $(a-b)^2 < b^2 - a^2$ when $0 < a < b$. Using Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.5 and above integral inequality (2.21), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\|I_{22}\|_{1+\nu}^2 &= \mathbf{E}\left\|\int_0^{t_1} [(t_2-s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1-s)^{\alpha-1}] A^{\frac{1+\nu}{2}} \Phi(t_2-s) \sigma(u(s)) dW(s)\right\|^2 \\
&= \int_0^{t_1} \mathbf{E}\|[(t_2-s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1-s)^{\alpha-1}] A^{\frac{1+\nu}{2}} \Phi(t_2-s) \sigma(u(s))\|_{L_2}^2 ds \\
&\leq \left\{\int_0^{t_1} [(t_2-s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1-s)^{\alpha-1}]^2 ds\right\} \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_0^{t_1} C(t_2-s)^{-\alpha(1+\nu)} \mathbf{E}\|u(s)\|^2 ds\right) \\
&\leq C\left\{\int_0^{t_1} [(t_1-s)^{2\alpha-2} - (t_2-s)^{2\alpha-2}] ds\right\} \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_0^{t_1} (t_2-s)^{-\alpha(1+\nu)} ds\right) \sup_{0\leq s\leq T} \mathbf{E}\|u(s)\|^2 \\
&\leq CT\left\{\int_0^{t_1} [(t_1-s)^{\alpha(1-\nu)-2} - (t_2-s)^{\alpha(1-\nu)-2}] ds\right\} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T} \mathbf{E}\|u(s)\|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{CT}{\alpha(1-\nu)-1} (t_2-t_1)^{\alpha(1-\nu)-1} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T} \mathbf{E}\|u(s)\|^2. \tag{2.22}
\end{aligned}$$

Further, if we use the Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.5 and the integral inequality (2.21), there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\|I_{23}\|_{1+\nu}^2 &= \mathbf{E}\left\|\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{\alpha-1} A^{\frac{1+\nu}{2}} \Phi(t_2-s) \sigma(u(s)) dW(s)\right\|^2 \\
&= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathbf{E}\|(t_2-s)^{\alpha-1} A^{\frac{1+\nu}{2}} \Phi(t_2-s) \sigma(u(s))\|_{L_2^0}^2 ds \\
&\leq C \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{2\alpha-2} ds\right) \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{-\alpha(1+\nu)} ds\right) \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \mathbf{E}\|u(s)\|^2 \\
&\leq C(t_2-t_1) \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{\alpha(1-\nu)-2} ds\right) \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \mathbf{E}\|u(s)\|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{C}{\alpha(1-\nu)-1} (t_2-t_1)^{\alpha(1-\nu)} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \mathbf{E}\|u(s)\|^2. \tag{2.23}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation on (2.17) and combining the estimates (2.18), (2.19), (2.20), (2.22) and (2.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\|u(t_2) - u(t_1)\|_{1+\nu}^2 &\leq 2\mathbf{E}\|I_1\|_{1+\nu}^2 + 2\mathbf{E}\|I_2\|_{1+\nu}^2 \\
&\leq C_1(t_2-t_1)^{\frac{\alpha(1-\nu)}{2}} + C_2(t_2-t_1)^{-\alpha\nu} \\
&\quad + C_3(t_2-t_1)^{\alpha(1-\nu)-1} + C_4(t_2-t_1)^{\alpha(1-\nu)} \\
&\leq C(t_2-t_1)^\beta, \tag{2.24}
\end{aligned}$$

where we chose $\beta = \max\{\frac{\alpha(1-\nu)}{2}, -\alpha\nu, \alpha(1-\nu)-1, \alpha(1-\nu)\}$ when $t_2 - t_1 \geq 1$. If the $0 < t_2 - t_1 < 1$ we take $\beta = \min\{\frac{\alpha(1-\nu)}{2}, -\alpha\nu, \alpha(1-\nu)-1, \alpha(1-\nu)\}$. This completes the proof of the Theorem 2.2.

3. Finite element method

In this section, we consider the spatial discretization of (2.2) by means of the finite element method. Let $\{V_h\}_{h \in (0,1)}$ denote a family of standard finite element spaces of continuous functions that are piecewise linear over T_h with the mesh size of h . We define the discrete version of Laplacian to be the operator $A_h : V_h \rightarrow V_h$ in (2.2) in a finite element space $V_h \subset H_0^1$, it satisfies

$$(A_h \psi, \chi) = (\nabla \psi, \nabla \chi), \forall \psi, \chi \in V_h. \tag{3.1}$$

The projection operator P_h is the standard L_2 -projection operator onto V_h defined for $v \in L_2(D)$ by

$$(P_h v, \chi) = (v, \chi), \forall \chi \in V_h. \quad (3.2)$$

It is easily shown that the operator $P_h v = \sum_{j=0}^{N_h} a_j \varphi_j$ with a basis $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=0}^{N_h}$ can be solved from the equations $(\sum_{j=0}^{N_h} a_j \varphi_j, \varphi_i) = (v, \varphi_i)$. It is known that the finite element approximation of the elliptic problem $Au = f$ is the unique solution of the equation $A_h u_h = P_h f$ and satisfy $\|u_h - u\| = \|A_h^{-1} P_h f - A^{-1} f\| \leq Ch^2 \|f\|$.

By the definition (3.1) we have

$$\|A_h^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi\| = \|\nabla \psi\| = \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi\| = \|\psi\|_1, \forall \psi \in V_h. \quad (3.3)$$

Here, P_h can be extended to $\dot{H}^{-1}$, for all $\psi \in \dot{H}^{-1}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_h^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_h \psi\| &= \sup_{\varphi \in V_h} \frac{(\psi, \varphi)}{\|A_h^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi\|} = \sup_{\varphi \in V_h} \frac{(\psi, \varphi)}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi\|} \leq \sup_{\varphi \in \dot{H}^{-1}} \frac{(\psi, \varphi)}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi\|} \\ &= \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \psi\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

From (3.3) and (3.4), it is easy to get

$$\|A_h^{\frac{1}{2}} P_h \psi\| \leq C \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi\| = C \|\psi\|_1, \forall \psi \in \dot{H}^1. \quad (3.5)$$

Interpolation between (3.4) and (3.5) yields

$$\|A_h^\rho P_h \psi\| \leq C \|A^\rho \psi\|, \forall \psi \in \dot{H}^\rho, \rho \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]. \quad (3.6)$$

Next we present the finite element method for the time-fractional stochastic heat equation (2.2).

3.1. Semidiscrete finite element approximations in space

The semi-discretized version of (2.2) is to find a process $u_h(t) = u_h(\cdot, t) \in V_h$ such that

$${}^C D_t^\alpha u_h(t) = A_h u_h(t) + P_h \sigma(u_h(t)) \frac{dW(t)}{dt}, u_h(0) = P_h u_0. \quad (3.7)$$

The spatially semi-discretized approximation for the stochastic process $u_h(t) : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow V_h$ of the mild solution to (3.7) is given by

$$u_h(t) = \Psi_h(t)P_h u_0 + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi_h(t-s)P_h \sigma(u_h(s))dW(s), \quad (3.8)$$

in which

$$\Psi_h(t) = \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) E_h(t^\alpha \theta) d\theta,$$

$$\Phi_h(t) = \alpha \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) E_h(t^\alpha \theta) d\theta,$$

where $E_h(t) = e^{tA_h}$ is the analytic semigroup generated by A_h . The existence of a unique mild solution can be proved in a similar way as for (2.3).

In order to prove our main results, the following useful conclusions are needed for the corresponding deterministic problem.

Lemma 3.1. (see [14]) Let $\rho \geq 0$, there exists a constant C such that

$$\|A_h^\rho E_h(t)v\| \leq Ct^{-\rho} \|v\|, \text{ for all } t > 0, h \in (0, 1]. \quad (3.9)$$

Lemma 3.2. (see [14]) Let $F_h(t) = E_h(t)P_h - E(t)$, $t \geq 0$, for $0 \leq \nu \leq \mu \leq 2$, there exists a constant C such that

$$\|F_h(t)v\| \leq Ch^\mu t^{-\frac{\mu-\nu}{2}} \|v\|_\nu, \text{ for all } v \in \dot{H}^\nu, t > 0, h \in (0, 1]. \quad (3.10)$$

Lemma 3.3. For $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, there exists a constant C such that

$$\|A_h^\mu \Phi_h(t)P_h v\| \leq Ct^{-\frac{\mu\alpha}{2}} \|v\|_\mu. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. For $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, by the Lemma 2.2, Lemma 3.1 and the inequality (3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_h^\mu \Phi_h(t)P_h v\| &= \left\| \alpha \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) A_h^{\frac{\mu}{2}} E_h(t^\alpha \theta) A_h^{\frac{\mu}{2}} P_h v d\theta \right\| \\ &\leq C \alpha t^{-\frac{\mu\alpha}{2}} \left(\int_0^\infty \theta^{1-\frac{\mu}{2}} \xi_\alpha(\theta) d\theta \right) \|A_h^{\frac{\mu}{2}} v\| \\ &= \frac{C \alpha \Gamma(2 - \frac{\mu}{2})}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{\alpha(2-\mu)}{2})} t^{-\frac{\mu\alpha}{2}} \|v\|_\mu \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.4. For $0 \leq r < 1$, there exists a constant C such that

$$\|[\Phi_h(t)P_h - \Phi(t)]v\| \leq Ct^{-\frac{r\alpha}{2}} h^{1+r} \|v\|_1. \quad (3.12)$$

Proof. Using the Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 3.2, let $\mu = 1 + r, r \in [0, 1)$ and $\nu = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\Phi_h(t)P_h - \Phi(t)]v\| &= \|\alpha \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) F_h(t^\alpha \theta) v d\theta\| \\ &\leq \alpha \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) \|F_h(t^\alpha \theta) v\| d\theta \\ &\leq C\alpha t^{-\frac{r\alpha}{2}} h^{1+r} \left(\int_0^\infty \theta^{1-\frac{r}{2}} \xi_\alpha(\theta) d\theta \right) \|v\|_1 \\ &= \frac{C\alpha \Gamma(2 - \frac{r}{2})}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{\alpha(2-r)}{2})} t^{-\frac{r\alpha}{2}} h^{1+r} \|v\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Our first aim is to prove the following error estimate in $L^2(\Omega, H)$ between the solutions of the semidiscrete approximation and original problems.

Theorem 3.1. Let $u_h(t)$ and $u(t)$ be the solutions of equations (3.8) and (2.3), respectively. For all $t \in (0, T]$ and $r \in [0, 1)$ it holds for a constant C , which is independent of h , such that

$$\|u_h(t) - u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)}^2 \leq Ch^{2(1+r)}. \quad (3.13)$$

Proof. For $t \in (0, T]$, we can get the following equality by (3.8) and (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned} u_h(t) - u(t) &= [\Psi_h(t)P_h - \Psi(t)]u_0 \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi_h(t-s) P_h \sigma(u_h(s)) dW(s) \\ &\quad - \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi(t-s) \sigma(u(s)) dW(s) \\ &:= J_1 + J_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where

$$J_1 = [\Psi_h(t)P_h - \Psi(t)]u_0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &= \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi_h(t-s) P_h \sigma(u_h(s)) dW(s) \\
&\quad - \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi(t-s) \sigma(u(s)) dW(s) \\
&= \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} [\Phi_h(t-s) P_h \sigma(u_h(s)) - \Phi(t-s) \sigma(u(s))] dW(s) \\
&= \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi_h(t-s) P_h [\sigma(u_h(s)) - \sigma(u(s))] dW(s) \\
&\quad + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} [\Phi_h(t-s) P_h - \Phi(t-s)] (\sigma(u(s)) - \sigma(u(t))) dW(s) \\
&\quad + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} [\Phi_h(t-s) P_h - \Phi(t-s)] \sigma(u(t)) dW(s) \\
&:= J_{21} + J_{22} + J_{23}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

In order to bound J_1 , we use the Lemma 3.2 with $\nu = \mu = 1 + r, r \in [0, 1)$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E} \|J_1\| &= \mathbf{E} \|[\Psi_h(t) P_h - \Psi(t)] u_0\| = \mathbf{E} \left\| \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) F_h(t^\alpha \theta) u_0 d\theta \right\| \\
&\leq \mathbf{E} \left(\int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) \|F_h(t^\alpha \theta) u_0\| d\theta \right) \\
&\leq C h^{1+r} \left(\int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) d\theta \right) \mathbf{E} \|A^{\frac{1+r}{2}} u_0\| \\
&= C h^{1+r} \mathbf{E} \|u_0\|_{1+r}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Next, we estimate the norm of the stochastic integral in (3.15). To begin with, the term J_{21} is estimated by applying the Lemma 2.1, Lemma 3.3 with $\mu = 0$ and the Lipschitz condition (2.6). Then we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E} \|J_{21}\|^2 &= \mathbf{E} \left\| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi_h(t-s) P_h [\sigma(u_h(s)) - \sigma(u(s))] dW(s) \right\|^2 \\
&= \int_0^t \mathbf{E} \left\| (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi_h(t-s) P_h [\sigma(u_h(s)) - \sigma(u(s))] \right\|_{L_2^0}^2 ds \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \mathbf{E} \|u_h(s) - u(s)\|^2 ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

A combination of the Lipschitz condition (2.6), Lemma 2.1, Lemma 3.4 and

Theorem 2.2 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\|J_{22}\|^2 &= \mathbf{E}\left\|\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}[\Phi_h(t-s)P_h - \Phi(t-s)](\sigma(u(s)) - \sigma(u(t)))dW(s)\right\|^2 \\
&= \int_0^t \mathbf{E}\|(t-s)^{\alpha-1}[\Phi_h(t-s)P_h - \Phi(t-s)](\sigma(u(s)) - \sigma(u(t)))\|_{L_2^0}^2 ds \\
&\leq Ch^{2(1+r)}\left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} ds\right)\left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{-r\alpha} \mathbf{E}\|u(s) - u(t)\|_1^2 ds\right) \\
&\leq Ch^{2(1+r)}\left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} ds\right)\left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-r\alpha} ds\right) \\
&\leq \frac{CT^{(2-r)\alpha+\beta}}{(2\alpha-1)(\beta-r\alpha+1)} h^{2(1+r)}. \tag{3.18}
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the estimates of the term J_{22} and by Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\|J_{23}\|^2 &= \mathbf{E}\left\|\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}[\Phi_h(t-s)P_h - \Phi(t-s)]\sigma(u(t))dW(s)\right\|^2 \\
&= \int_0^t \mathbf{E}\|(t-s)^{\alpha-1}[\Phi_h(t-s)P_h - \Phi(t-s)]\sigma(u(t))\|_{L_2^0}^2 ds \\
&\leq Ch^{2(1+r)}\left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} ds\right)\left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{-r\alpha} \mathbf{E}\|u(t)\|_1^2 ds\right) \\
&\leq \frac{CT^{(2-r)\alpha}}{(2\alpha-1)(1-r\alpha)} (\mathbf{E}\|u_0\|_1^2 + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \mathbf{E}\|u(s)\|^2) h^{2(1+r)}. \tag{3.19}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation on (3.14) and together with (3.15)-(3.19) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\|u_h(t) - u(t)\|^2 &\leq 2\mathbf{E}\|J_1\|^2 + 2\mathbf{E}\|J_2\|^2 \leq C_1 h^{2(1+r)} \\
&\quad + C_2 \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \mathbf{E}\|u_h(s) - u(s)\|^2 ds. \tag{3.20}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if we note that $\rho(t) = \mathbf{E}\|u_h(t) - u(t)\|^2$ in (3.20), we get

$$\rho(t) \leq C_1 h^{2(1+r)} + C_2 \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \rho(s) ds. \tag{3.21}$$

By means of the standard integral version of Gronwall's Lemma it holds

$$\rho(t) \leq C_1 h^{2(1+r)} \exp(C_2 \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} ds) \leq C(C_1, C_2, T, \alpha) h^{2(1+r)}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

3.2. Fully discrete schemes

Let $\tau > 0$ denote a fixed time step which defines a time grid $t_n = n\tau, n = 0, 1, \dots, K$, then the integration time $0 \leq t_n \leq T$ and $\tau = \frac{T}{K}$. Further, the semi-discretized version of mild solution (3.8) at time t_n is shown that

$$u_h(t_n) = \Psi_h(t_n)P_h u_0 + \int_0^{t_n} (t_n - s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi_h(t_n - s) P_h \sigma(u_h(s)) dW(s), \quad (3.22)$$

Now, we will introduce the GMMP scheme, which firstly given in [15] by Gorenflo, Mainardi, Moretti and Paradisi. Then the Caputo fractional derivative (when $0 < \alpha < 1$) can be approximated by

$$\begin{aligned} {}^C D_t^\alpha u(x, t) &\approx \frac{1}{\tau^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n \omega_k^\alpha [u(x, t_{n-k}) - u(x, 0)] \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau^\alpha} [\sum_{k=0}^n \omega_k^\alpha u(x, t_{n-k}) - b_n u(x, 0)], \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

where

$$\omega_k^\alpha = (-1)^k \binom{\alpha}{k} = \frac{\Gamma(k - \alpha)}{\Gamma(-\alpha)\Gamma(k + 1)},$$

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \omega_k^\alpha = \frac{\Gamma(n + 1 - \alpha)}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)\Gamma(n + 1)}, n \geq 0.$$

Here, ω_k^α and b_n have the following properties.

Lemma 3.5. (see [16,17]) For $\alpha > 0$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

- (1) $\omega_0^\alpha = 1$, $\omega_n^\alpha < 0$, $|\omega_{n+1}^\alpha| < |\omega_n^\alpha|$, and $0 < -\sum_{k=1}^n \omega_k^\alpha < -\sum_{k=1}^\infty \omega_k^\alpha = \omega_0^\alpha$.
- (2) $b_n - b_{n-1} = \omega_n^\alpha < 0$, i.e., $b_n < b_{n-1} < b_{n-2} < \dots < b_0 = 1$.

Denoting the approximation of $u(t_n)$ by u^n , by means of the GMMP scheme (3.23), we define the fully discrete scheme of (3.7) by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\tau^\alpha} [\sum_{k=0}^n \omega_k^\alpha u_h^{n-k} - b_n u_h^0] &= A_h u_h^n + \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} P_h \sigma(u_h^{n-1}) dW(s), n \geq 1, \\ u_h^0 &= P_h u_0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

With the definition of $R(\lambda, X) = (\lambda I - X)^{-1}$, $\lambda > 0$, and $E_{\tau h} = R(\tau^{-\alpha}, A_h) = (\tau^{-\alpha} I - A_h)^{-1}$. The above equality (3.24) can be rewritten as the following form

$$\begin{aligned} u_h^n &= \tau^{-\alpha} b_n E_{\tau h} u_h^0 - E_{\tau h} \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_k^\alpha u_h^{n-k} + \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} E_{\tau h} P_h \sigma(u_h^{n-1}) dW(s), \\ u_h^0 &= P_h u_0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Lemma 3.6. For $h \in (0, 1]$, $t > 0$ and $\tau > 0$. The operator $E_{\tau h}$ has the following properties:

$$\|E_{\tau h} v\| \leq C\tau^\alpha \|v\|, \text{ for all } v \in H, \quad (3.26)$$

and

$$\|E_{\tau h} P_h v\| \leq C\tau^\alpha t^{-\frac{\nu}{2}} \|v\|_{-\nu}, \text{ for all } v \in \dot{H}^{-\nu}, \nu \in [0, 1]. \quad (3.27)$$

Proof. For $\lambda > 0$, by the Lemma 3.1 ($\rho = 0$) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|R(\lambda, A_h) v\| &= \|(\lambda I - A_h)^{-1} v\| = \left\| \int_0^\infty e^{-(\lambda I - A_h)t} v dt \right\| \\ &= \left\| \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} E_h(t) v dt \right\| \leq \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \|E_h(t) v\| dt \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \|v\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $\|E_{\tau h} v\| = \|R(\tau^{-\alpha}, A_h) v\| \leq C\tau^\alpha \|v\|$.

For $t > 0$, together with the Lemma 3.1 and the inequality (3.6) we have shown that

$$\begin{aligned} \|R(\lambda, A_h) P_h v\| &= \|(\lambda I - A_h)^{-1} P_h v\| = \left\| \int_0^\infty e^{-(\lambda I - A_h)t} P_h v dt \right\| \\ &= \left\| \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} E_h(t) P_h v dt \right\| \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \|A_h^{\frac{\nu}{2}} E_h(t) A_h^{-\frac{\nu}{2}} P_h v\| dt \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} t^{-\frac{\nu}{2}} \|v\|_{-\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

So we can obtain $\|E_{\tau h} P_h v\| = \|R(\tau^{-\alpha}, A_h) P_h v\| \leq C\tau^\alpha t^{-\frac{\nu}{2}} \|v\|_{-\nu}$.

Lemma 3.7. For $0 \leq \nu \leq 1, \lambda > 0$ and $t > 0, \mu \in R$, there exists a constant C such that

$$\|[\mu R(\lambda, A_h) - I]P_h v\| \leq \frac{C(|\mu| + |\lambda|)}{\lambda} t^{-\frac{\nu}{2}} \|v\|_{-\nu} + \frac{C}{\lambda} t^{-\frac{1+\nu}{2}} \|v\|_{1-\nu}. \quad (3.29)$$

Proof. For $\nu \in [0, 1], \lambda > 0$ and $t > 0, \mu \in R$, using the same argument as in (3.28) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|[\mu R(\lambda, A_h) - I]P_h v\| \\ &= \|[\mu(\lambda I - A_h)^{-1} - (\lambda I - A_h)^{-1}(\lambda I - A_h)]P_h v\| \\ &= \|(\lambda I - A_h)^{-1}[(\mu - \lambda)I + A_h]P_h v\| \\ &\leq \|(|\mu| + |\lambda|)(\lambda I - A_h)^{-1}P_h v\| + \|(\lambda I - A_h)^{-1}A_h P_h v\| \\ &\leq \frac{C(|\mu| + |\lambda|)}{\lambda} t^{-\frac{\nu}{2}} \|v\|_{-\nu} + \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \|A_h^{\frac{1+\nu}{2}} E_h(t) A_h^{\frac{1-\nu}{2}} P_h v\| dt \\ &\leq \frac{C(|\mu| + |\lambda|)}{\lambda} t^{-\frac{\nu}{2}} \|v\|_{-\nu} + \frac{C}{\lambda} t^{-\frac{1+\nu}{2}} \|v\|_{1-\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.8. For $\nu \in [0, 1], t > 0$, there exists a constant C such that

$$\|[I - E_h(t)]P_h v\| \leq C t^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \|v\|_{\nu}. \quad (3.30)$$

Proof. For $\nu \in [0, 1]$ and $t > 0$, by Lemma 3.1 and the estimate (3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|[I - E_h(t)]P_h v\| &= \left\| - \int_0^t A_h e^{tA_h} dt P_h v \right\| \\ &= \left\| \int_0^t (-A_h^{1-\frac{\nu}{2}} E_h(t) A_h^{\frac{\nu}{2}} P_h v) dt \right\| \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|A_h^{1-\frac{\nu}{2}} E_h(t) A_h^{\frac{\nu}{2}} P_h v\| dt \\ &\leq C \left(\int_0^t t^{\frac{\nu}{2}-1} dt \right) \|v\|_{\nu} \\ &= \frac{2C}{\nu} t^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \|v\|_{\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Define the main error $e^n = u_h^n - u(t_n)$, we now drive error estimates for e^n .

Theorem 3.2. Let u_h^n and $u(t_n)$ be the solutions of equations (3.25) and (2.3), respectively. For $0 \leq r < 1$ and $0 < \tau < 1$, there exist positive constant

C such that

$$\|e^n\|_{L_2(\Omega, H)}^2 \leq C[\tau^k + \tau^{2\alpha} h^{2(1+r)} + h^{2(1+r)}]. \quad (3.31)$$

Proof. We rewrite $e^n = u_h^n - u(t_n) = \xi^n + \eta^n$, where $\xi^n = u_h^n - u_h(t_n)$ and $\eta^n = u_h(t_n) - u(t_n)$ with $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, M$. It is obviously that $\|\eta^n\|_{L_2(\Omega, H)}^2 \leq Ch^{2(1+r)}$ by using Theorem 3.1.

Subtracting the equation (3.25) from (3.22), we can obtain the following error equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^n &= [\tau^{-\alpha} b_n E_{\tau h} u_h^0 - \Psi_h(t_n) P_h u_0] \\ &\quad - E_{\tau h} \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_k^\alpha u_h^{n-k} + \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} E_{\tau h} P_h \sigma(u_h^{n-1}) dW(s) \\ &\quad - \int_0^{t_n} (t_n - s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi_h(t_n - s) P_h \sigma(u_h(s)) dW(s) \\ &:= L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4. \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

For L_1 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\|L_1\|^2 &= \mathbf{E}\|\tau^{-\alpha} b_n E_{\tau h} u_h^0 - \Psi_h(t_n) P_h u_0\|^2 \\ &= \mathbf{E}\|[\tau^{-\alpha} b_n E_{\tau h} u_h^0 - P_h u_0] - [P_h u_0 - \Psi_h(t_n) P_h u_0]\|^2 \\ &\leq 2\mathbf{E}\|\tau^{-\alpha} b_n E_{\tau h} u_h^0 - P_h u_0\|^2 + 2\mathbf{E}\|P_h u_0 - \Psi_h(t_n) P_h u_0\|^2 \\ &:= L_{11} + L_{12}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

According to Lemma 3.5, we have $\omega_{n+1}^\alpha = b_{n+1} - b_n < 1 - b_n$, therefore, it is easy to get $|b_n| < |1 - \omega_{n+1}^\alpha| \leq 1 + |\omega_{n+1}^\alpha| < 2$. For $0 < t_1 = \tau \leq t \leq T = K\tau$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]$, together with Lemma 3.7 yields

$$\begin{aligned} L_{11} &= 2\mathbf{E}\|\tau^{-\alpha} b_n E_{\tau h} u_h^0 - P_h u_0\|^2 = 2\mathbf{E}\|[\tau^{-\alpha} b_n R(\tau^{-\alpha}, A_h) - I] P_h u_0\|^2 \\ &\leq 2\mathbf{E}(3Ct^{-\frac{\nu}{2}} \|u_0\|_{-\nu} + C\tau^\alpha t^{-\frac{1+\nu}{2}} \|u_0\|_{1-\nu})^2 = 18Ct^{-\nu} \mathbf{E}\|u_0\|_{-\nu}^2 \\ &\quad + 12C\tau^\alpha t^{-\frac{1+2\nu}{2}} \mathbf{E}\|u_0\|_{-\nu} \mathbf{E}\|u_0\|_{1-\nu} + 2C\tau^{2\alpha} t^{-(1+\nu)} \mathbf{E}\|u_0\|_{1-\nu}^2 \\ &\leq C(\tau^{-\nu} + \tau^{\alpha-\nu-\frac{1}{2}} + \tau^{2\alpha-\nu-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

For $0 < t \leq T = K\tau$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]$, using Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 3.8 we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{12} &= 2\mathbf{E}\|P_h u_0 - \Psi_h(t_n)P_h u_0\|^2 \\
&= 2\mathbf{E}\left\|\int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta)P_h u_0 d\theta - \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta)E_h(t^\alpha\theta)P_h u_0 d\theta\right\|^2 \\
&= 2\mathbf{E}\left\|\int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta)[I - E_h(t^\alpha\theta)]P_h u_0 d\theta\right\|^2 \\
&\leq 2\int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta)\mathbf{E}\| [I - E_h(t^\alpha\theta)]P_h u_0\|^2 d\theta \\
&\leq \frac{8C^2}{\nu^2} t^{\nu\alpha} \left(\int_0^\infty \theta^\nu \xi_\alpha(\theta) d\theta\right) \mathbf{E}\|u_0\|_\nu^2 \\
&\leq \frac{8C^2 K^{\nu\alpha} \Gamma(1+\nu)}{\nu^2 \Gamma(1+\alpha\nu)} \tau^{\nu\alpha} \mathbf{E}\|u_0\|_\nu^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

For L_2 , with $\sum_{k=1}^n |\omega_k^\alpha| < \omega_0^\alpha = 1$, combine with (3.6) ($\rho = 0$), Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.6 (3.26) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\|L_2\|^2 &= \mathbf{E}\left\| -E_{\tau h} \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_k^\alpha u_h^{n-k} \right\|^2 \\
&= \mathbf{E}\left\| -E_{\tau h} \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_k^\alpha [(u_h^{n-k} - P_h u(t_{n-k})) + P_h u(t_{n-k})] \right\|^2 \\
&\leq 2\mathbf{E}\|E_{\tau h} \sum_{k=1}^n |\omega_k^\alpha| \xi^{n-k}\|^2 + 2\mathbf{E}\|E_{\tau h} \sum_{k=1}^n |\omega_k^\alpha| P_h u(t_{n-k})\|^2 \\
&\leq 2C\tau^{2\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{E}\|\xi^{n-k}\|^2 + 2C\tau^{2\alpha} (\mathbf{E}\|u_0\|^2 + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \mathbf{E}\|u(s)\|^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

For L_3 , by Lemma 2.1, Lemma 3.6 (3.27) ($\nu = 0$), the conditions (2.6), the

estimate (3.6) ($\rho = 0$) and Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\|L_3\|^2 &= \mathbf{E}\left\|\frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} E_{\tau h} P_h \sigma(u_h^{n-1}) dW(s)\right\|^2 \\
&\leq 2\mathbf{E}\left\|\frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} E_{\tau h} P_h [\sigma(u_h^{n-1}) - \sigma(P_h u(t_{n-1}))] dW(s)\right\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\mathbf{E}\left\|\frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} E_{\tau h} P_h \sigma(P_h u(t_{n-1})) dW(s)\right\|^2 \\
&= \frac{2}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \mathbf{E}\|E_{\tau h} P_h [\sigma(u_h^{n-1}) - \sigma(P_h u(t_{n-1}))]\|_{L_2^0}^2 ds \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \mathbf{E}\|E_{\tau h} P_h \sigma(P_h u(t_{n-1}))\|_{L_2^0}^2 ds \\
&\leq 2C\tau^{2\alpha} \mathbf{E}\|\xi^{n-1}\|^2 + 2C\tau^{2\alpha} (\mathbf{E}\|u_0\|^2 + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \mathbf{E}\|u(s)\|^2). \quad (3.37)
\end{aligned}$$

The term L_4 can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
L_4 &= - \int_0^{t_n} (t_n - s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi_h(t_n - s) P_h \sigma(u_h(s)) dW(s) \\
&= - \int_0^{t_n} (t_n - s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi_h(t_n - s) P_h [\sigma(u_h(s)) - \sigma(u(s))] dW(s) \\
&\quad - \int_0^{t_n} (t_n - s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi_h(t_n - s) P_h \sigma(u(s)) dW(s) \\
&:= L_{41} + L_{42}. \quad (3.38)
\end{aligned}$$

For $0 < t_n \leq T = K\tau$, using the Lemma 2.1, Lemma 3.3 ($\mu = 0$), Theorem 3.1 and the Lipschitz condition (2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\|L_{41}\|^2 &= \mathbf{E}\left\| - \int_0^{t_n} (t_n - s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi_h(t_n - s) P_h [\sigma(u_h(s)) - \sigma(u(s))] dW(s) \right\|^2 \\
&= \int_0^{t_n} \mathbf{E}\|(t_n - s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi_h(t_n - s) P_h [\sigma(u_h(s)) - \sigma(u(s))]\|_{L_2^0}^2 ds \\
&\leq C \left(\int_0^{t_n} (t_n - s)^{2\alpha-2} ds \right) \left(\int_0^{t_n} \mathbf{E}\|u_h(s) - u(s)\|^2 ds \right) \\
&\leq \frac{CK^{2\alpha}}{2\alpha-1} \tau^{2\alpha} h^{2(r+1)}. \quad (3.39)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by Lemma 2.1, Lemma 3.3 ($\mu = 0$), the growth condition (2.6)

and Theorem 2.1 we have proven that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\|L_{42}\|^2 &= \mathbf{E}\left\|\int_0^{t_n} (t_n - s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi_h(t_n - s) P_h \sigma(u(s)) dW(s)\right\|^2 \\
&= \int_0^{t_n} \mathbf{E}\|(t_n - s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi_h(t_n - s) P_h \sigma(u(s))\|_{L_2^0}^2 ds \\
&\leq C \left(\int_0^{t_n} (t_n - s)^{2\alpha-2} ds\right) \left(\int_0^{t_n} \mathbf{E}\|u(s)\|^2 ds\right) \\
&\leq \frac{CK^{2\alpha}}{2\alpha-1} \tau^{2\alpha} (\mathbf{E}\|u_0\|^2 + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \mathbf{E}\|u(s)\|^2). \tag{3.40}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, taking expectation on (3.32) and combine with the (3.33)-(3.40) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\|\xi^n\|^2 &\leq C_1(\tau^{-\nu} + \tau^{\alpha-\nu-\frac{1}{2}} + \tau^{2\alpha-\nu-1} + \tau^{\nu\alpha} + \tau^{2\alpha}) \\
&\quad + C_2 \tau^{2\alpha} h^{2(r+1)} + C_3 \tau^{2\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{E}\|\xi^{n-k}\|^2. \tag{3.41}
\end{aligned}$$

By the discrete version of Gronwall's Lemma for (3.41), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\xi^n\|_{L_2(\Omega, H)}^2 &\leq [C_1 \tau^k + C_2 \tau^{2\alpha} h^{2(1+r)}] \exp(C_3 n \tau^{2\alpha}) \\
&\leq C(C_1, C_2, C_3, T) [\tau^k + \tau^{2\alpha} h^{2(1+r)}], \tag{3.42}
\end{aligned}$$

where $k = \min\{-\nu, \alpha - \nu - \frac{1}{2}, 2\alpha - \nu - 1, \nu\alpha, 2\alpha\}$.

Together with the above estimates (3.42) and the triangle inequality, we obtain

$$\|e^n\|_{L_2(\Omega, H)}^2 \leq \|\xi^n\|_{L_2(\Omega, H)}^2 + \|\eta^n\|_{L_2(\Omega, H)}^2 \leq C[\tau^k + \tau^{2\alpha} h^{2(1+r)} + h^{2(1+r)}].$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem 3.2.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we firstly discuss and prove the regularity properties of the mild solution of time-fractional stochastic heat equation driven by multiplicative noise. The semidiscrete and fully discrete finite element approaches are developed to solve this problem, in which the time direction is approximated by the GMMP scheme and the space direction is approximated by the Galerkin

finite element method. The noise term is approximated by the generalized L_2 -projection operator. The optimal strong convergence error estimates for both semidiscrete and fully discrete finite element methods in the L_2 -norm are obtained, the proof is based on appropriate error estimates for the corresponding deterministic heat equation. In future work, we will extend the present methods to deal with the nonlinear fractional SPDEs and develop high-order methods in both time and space.

References

- [1] H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, Theory and applications of fractional differential equations, Elsevier, 2006.
- [2] Y.Z. Povstenko, Fractional heat conduction equation and associated thermal stress, J. Therm. Stresses 28 (2004) 83-102.
- [3] J.B. Mijena, E. Nane, Space-time fractional stochastic partial differential equations, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 125 (2015) 3301-3326.
- [4] Z.Q. Chen, K.H. Kim, P. Kim, Fractional time stochastic partial differential equations, Stoch. Process. Appl. 125 (2015) 1470-1499.
- [5] J.B. Mijena, E. Nane, Intermittence and space-time fractional stochastic partial differential equations, Potential Anal. 44 (2016) 295-312.
- [6] M. Foondun, E. Nane, Asymptotic properties of some space-time fractional stochastic equations, arXiv preprint arXiv:1505.04615 (2015).
- [7] L. Chen, G. Hu, Y. Hu, J. Huang, Space-time fractional diffusions in Gaussian noisy environment, Stochastics (2016) 1-36.
- [8] C. Prévôt, M. Röckner, A concise course on stochastic partial differential equations, vol. 1905, Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [9] M.M. El-Borai, Some probability densities and fundamental solutions of fractional evolution equations, Chaos Soliton. Fract. 14 (2002) 433-440.
- [10] J.R. Wang, Y. Zhou, Existence and controllability results for fractional semilinear differential inclusions, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 12 (2011) 3642-3653.

- [11] R. Sakthivel, S. Suganya, S.M. Anthoni, Approximate controllability of fractional stochastic evolution equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 63 (2012) 660-668.
- [12] Y. Zhou, F. Jiao, Existence of mild solutions for fractional neutral evolution equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 59 (2010) 1063-1077.
- [13] V. Thomée, Galerkin finite element methods for parabolic problems, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [14] R. Kruse, Strong and weak approximation of semilinear stochastic evolution equations, Springer, 2014.
- [15] R. Gorenflo, F. Mainardi, D. Moretti, P. Paradisi, Time fractional diffusion: a discrete random walk approach, *Nonlinear Dynam.* 29 (2002) 129-143.
- [16] F. Zeng, C. Li, F. Liu, I. Turner, The use of finite difference/element approaches for solving the time-fractional subdiffusion equation, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* 35 (2013) A2976-A3000.
- [17] L. Galeone, R. Garrappa, Explicit methods for fractional differential equations and their stability properties, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 228 (2009) 548-560.